

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/600,036 | 06/20/2003 | Ezra Gershon | GERSHON 201-KFM | 6369 |
| . 75 | 90 03/16/2004 | | EXAM | INER |
| Karl F. Milde, Jr., Esq. | | | ALEXANDER, REGINALD | |
| MILDE, HOFFBERG & MACKLIN, L.L.P. | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Suite 460 10 Bank Street White Plains, NY 10606 | | | 1761 | THE BRITISH |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 03/16/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|-------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | 10/600,036 | GERSHON, EZRA | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Reginald L. Alexander | 1761 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | | |
| Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM | | | | | | | |
| THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| Status | • | | | | | | |
| 1)[| Responsive to communication(s) filed on | annum." | | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> ☐ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi | s action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | |
| • | 4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| ′_ | ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| • | ☑ Claim(s) <u>9-16</u> is/are objected to. ☑ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| O) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Applicati | ion Papers | • | • | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 September 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority (| ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | · | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | at(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | | |
| 3) Infor | ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date | my Date and Information | Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/600,036

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

There is recited at lines 2 and 3, "at least cylinders". It is unclear how many cylinders are being claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 and 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Frazier.

There is disclosed in Frazier a rotisserie cooking grill having a heat source (charcoal) creating a heated cooking region, the rotisserie comprising: a hot air engine 52 adjacent the heated cooking region, the engine turning an engine shaft 76 peripheral to the heated cooking region, the engine comprising a cylinder having an air filled chamber with a drive piston 62 attached to a piston rod 60; a spit 32 disposed within the heated cooking region and fixedly connected to a spit shaft 38 extending peripherally of

Application/Control Number: 10/600,036

Art Unit: 1761

the cooking region and attached to the engine shaft such that the turning of the engine shaft rotates the spit shaft and spit.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frazier.

Frazier, as discussed above discloses all of the claimed subject matter except for an additional air-filled cylinder. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide an additional cylinder, in order to provide additional drive power to the shaft.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 9-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Gaeke, Kertesz and Zentko are cited for their disclosure of the state of the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reginald L. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1395. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Art Unit: 1761

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

rla March 8, 2004 Reginald L. Alexander
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1761